
**The principal variance-based sensitivity indices can be estimated by
the “Ishigami-Homma-Saltelli” method *without assuming
independence between the input variables***

Bernard Krzykacz-Hausmann

Gesellschaft für Anlagen- und Reaktorsicherheit (GRS), GERMANY

E-mail: bernard.krzykacz-hausmann@grs.de

Summary: It is well-known that the original Ishigami-Homma-Saltelli (I-H-S) sampling method for estimating Sobol’s principal variance-based sensitivity indices is valid for independent variables, only. In this paper it is pointed out that nearly the same procedure, just very slightly modified, can be used in case of not independent variables, too.

Terminology:

$Y = h(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$: model output considered as a scalar function of the inputs

$\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ vector of *not necessarily independent* input random variables,

i.e. with a joint multivariate density $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \neq \prod_i f_i(x_i)$

Partition of $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_m) = (\mathbf{X}_{(1)}, \mathbf{X}_{(2)})$ into

$\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$ = subset of those variables for which the sensitivity is to be determined

$\mathbf{X}_{(2)}$ = all the other variables (the rest)

\Rightarrow joint density: $f(\mathbf{x}_{(1)}, \mathbf{x}_{(2)}) = f(\mathbf{x}_{(2)} | \mathbf{x}_{(1)}) \cdot f(\mathbf{x}_{(1)})$

E.g.

$\mathbf{X}_{(1)} = X_i$, $\mathbf{X}_{(2)} = (X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_m)$ and

$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f(x_i | x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)$

Direct definition of the two principal variance-based sensitivity indices for $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$:

$SM_{(1)}$ = “Main effect sensitivity index” for $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$ (= correlation ratio²)

$$= \frac{\text{var } E[Y|\mathbf{X}_{(1)}]}{\text{var } Y} = \frac{\text{var } Y - E \text{ var}[Y|\mathbf{X}_{(1)}]}{\text{var } Y}$$

≈ “relative amount of variance of Y that is expected to be *removed* if the true value(s) of the variable(s) $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$ would become known”.

$ST_{(1)}$ = “Total effect sensitivity index” for $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$

$$= \frac{E \text{ var}[Y|\mathbf{X}_{(2)}]}{\text{var } Y} = \frac{\text{var } Y - \text{var } E[Y|\mathbf{X}_{(2)}]}{\text{var } Y}$$

≈ “relative amount of variance of Y that is expected to *remain* if the true values of all the other variables $\mathbf{X}_{(2)}$ would become known”.

(exchanging $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{(2)}$ $\Rightarrow ST_{(1)} = 1 - SM_{(2)} \Rightarrow$ only $SM_{(.)}$ will be considered)

Original I-H-S sampling procedure to estimate $SM_{(1)}$ in the independent case

1st y-sample

$$y_1 = h(\mathbf{x}_{(1),1}, \mathbf{x}_{(2),1})$$

· ·
· ·
· ·

$$y_n = h(\mathbf{x}_{(1),n}, \mathbf{x}_{(2),n})$$

2nd y'-sample

$$y'_1 = h(\mathbf{x}_{(1),1}, \mathbf{x}'_{(2),1})$$

· ·
· ·
· ·

$$y'_n = h(\mathbf{x}_{(1),n}, \mathbf{x}'_{(2),n})$$

where

$\mathbf{x}_{(1),i}$ is sampled from the pdf $f(\mathbf{x}_{(1)})$ of $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$

$\mathbf{x}_{(2),i}$ is sampled from the pdf $f(\mathbf{x}_{(2)})$ of $\mathbf{X}_{(2)}$

$\mathbf{x}'_{(2),i}$ is sampled from the same pdf $f(\mathbf{x}_{(2)})$ of $\mathbf{X}_{(2)}$ independently of $\mathbf{x}_{(2),i}$

(i.e. $\mathbf{x}_{(2),i}$ and $\mathbf{x}'_{(2),i}$ are sampled *independently* of $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$ and of each other)

Modified I-H-S sampling procedure to estimate $SM_{(1)}$ in the **not independent** case

1st y-sample

$$y_1 = h(\mathbf{x}_{(1),1}, \mathbf{x}_{(2),1})$$

· ·
· ·
· ·

$$y_n = h(\mathbf{x}_{(1),n}, \mathbf{x}_{(2),n})$$

2nd y'-sample

$$y'_1 = h(\mathbf{x}_{(1),1}, \mathbf{x}'_{(2),1})$$

· ·
· ·
· ·

$$y'_n = h(\mathbf{x}_{(1),n}, \mathbf{x}'_{(2),n})$$

where

$\mathbf{x}_{(1),i}$ is sampled from the marginal pdf $f(\mathbf{x}_{(1)})$ of $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$

$\mathbf{x}_{(2),i}$ is sampled from the conditional pdf $f(\mathbf{x}_{(2)}|\mathbf{X}_{(1)}=\mathbf{x}_{(1),i})$ of $\mathbf{X}_{(2)}$ given “ $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}=\mathbf{x}_{(1),i}$ ”

$\mathbf{x}'_{(2),i}$ is sampled from the same cond. pdf $f(\mathbf{x}_{(2)}|\mathbf{X}_{(1)}=\mathbf{x}_{(1),i})$ of $\mathbf{X}_{(2)}$ given “ $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}=\mathbf{x}_{(1),i}$ ”
independently of $\mathbf{x}_{(2),i}$

(i.e. $\mathbf{x}_{(2),i}$ and $\mathbf{x}'_{(2),i}$ are sampled conditionally independently given “ $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}=\mathbf{x}_{(1),i}$ ”)

Modified I-H-S estimate of $SM_{(1)}$ from the two samples $\mathbf{y}=(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $\mathbf{y}'=(y'_1, \dots, y'_n)$ in the general **not independent** case:

From the resulting two samples $\mathbf{y}=(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $\mathbf{y}'=(y'_1, \dots, y'_n)$ compute the estimate of $SM_{(1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{SM}_{(1)} &= \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum y_i \cdot y'_i - \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y}'}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum (y'_i - \bar{y}')^2}} = \\ &= \text{corr}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') \\ &= \underline{\text{sample correlation coefficient}} \text{ between } \mathbf{y} \text{ and } \mathbf{y}'. \end{aligned}$$

Original I-H-S estimate of $SM_{(1)}$ from the two samples $\mathbf{y}=(y_1,\dots,y_n)$ and $\mathbf{y}'=(y'_1,\dots,y'_n)$ in the **independent** case:

$$SM_{(1)}^{\hat{}} = \frac{\hat{D}_1}{D} = \dots = \frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum y_i \cdot y'_i - \bar{y}^2}{\frac{1}{n}\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$$

Slight **modification** of the I-H-S estimate:

$$\bar{y}^2 \leftarrow \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y}', \quad \frac{1}{n}\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n}\sum (y'_i - \bar{y}')^2}$$

yields

$$SM_{(1)}^{\hat{}} = \frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum y_i \cdot y'_i - \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y}'}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n}\sum (y'_i - \bar{y}')^2}} = \text{corr}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') =$$

= the sample correlation coefficient between \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{y}'

= **the same as in the general not independent case.**

Basic result:

Consider the two outcome variables

$$Y = h(\mathbf{X}_{(1)}, \mathbf{X}_{(2)}),$$

$$Y' = h(\mathbf{X}_{(1)}, \mathbf{X}'_{(2)}),$$

with $\mathbf{X}_{(2)}$ and $\mathbf{X}'_{(2)}$ identically distributed and conditionally independent given $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$,

$$\text{i.e. } f(\mathbf{x}_{(2)}, \mathbf{x}'_{(2)} | \mathbf{X}_{(1)} = \mathbf{x}_{(1)}) = f(\mathbf{x}_{(2)} | \mathbf{X}_{(1)} = \mathbf{x}_{(1)}) \cdot f(\mathbf{x}'_{(2)} | \mathbf{X}_{(1)} = \mathbf{x}_{(1)}) .$$

Then the “main effect” sensitivity index $SM_{(1)}$ can be represented as the correlation coefficient $\rho(Y, Y')$ between Y and Y' , i.e.

$$SM_{(1)} = \frac{\text{var } E[Y | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}]}{\text{var } Y} = \frac{E(Y \cdot Y') - EY \cdot EY'}{\sqrt{\text{var } Y \text{ var } Y'}} = \rho(Y, Y')$$

Proof: (follows easily from the properties of conditional expectation $E[Y|\mathbf{X}]$)

Y and Y' are identically distributed and conditionally independent given $\mathbf{X}_{(1)}$

$$\Rightarrow E(Y') = E(Y) = E(E[Y|\mathbf{X}_{(1)}]), \quad \text{var}Y = \text{var}Y', \quad E[Y'|\mathbf{X}_{(1)}] = E[Y|\mathbf{X}_{(1)}],$$

$$E[Y \cdot Y' | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}] = E[Y | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}] \cdot E[Y' | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}] = E^2[Y | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}]$$

$$\Rightarrow E(Y \cdot Y') = E(E[Y \cdot Y' | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}]) = E(E[Y | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}] \cdot E[Y' | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}]) = E(E^2[Y | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}])$$

$$\Rightarrow EY \cdot EY' = E^2(E[Y | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}])$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(Y, Y') = \frac{E(Y \cdot Y') - EY \cdot EY'}{\sqrt{\text{var}Y \text{var}Y'}} = \frac{E(E^2[Y | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}]) - E^2(E[Y | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}])}{\sqrt{\text{var}Y \text{var}Y'}} = \frac{\text{var} E[Y | \mathbf{X}_{(1)}]}{\text{var}Y} = SM_{(1)}$$

Final remarks:

1. The same computational effort as in the traditional independent case.
2. Sobol's variance decomposition doesn't hold in the dependent case.
The sensitivity indices $SM_{(1)}$ and $ST_{(1)}$ may have different properties.
E.g. " $ST_{(1)} \geq SM_{(1)}$ " may no longer hold.
3. Conditional distributions describe dependence in the most general way.
However, it may sometimes be (practically, numerically) difficult to determine all the required conditional distributions and to sample from them as required.